# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

COMMISSION,	) )
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 04-4037RUKIRUS
v.	
INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO.2174) OF PINE RIVER, MINNESOTA	COMPLAINT
· ·	Jury Trial Demand
Defendant.	)
	)

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA") to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of age, and to provide appropriate relief to Frances K. Spurlock, Naree P. Weaver and other similarly situated individuals (collectively the "class members"). The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("Commission") alleges that from June, 1999 through at least June 2000, Independent School District 2174 of Pine River Minnesota ("ISD 2174"), discriminated against the class members by paying an early retirement incentive cash benefit which had been reduced because of age.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 626(b) (the "ADEA"), which incorporates by reference Sections 16(c) and 17 of the Fair Labor Standards ASCANNE

SEP 1 3 2004

U.S. DISTRICT COURT MPLS

1938 (the "FLSA"), as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(c) and 217.

2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

#### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff, the Commission, is an agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of the ADEA and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 7(b) of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 626(b), as amended by Section 2 of Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1978, 92 Stat. 3781, and by Public Law 98-532 (1984), 98 Stat. 2705.
- 4. At all relevant times, the ISD 2174 has continuously been a public school district operating in Pine River-Backus, Minnesota, and has continuously had at least 20 employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, the ISD 2174 has continuously been an employer, a political subdivision of a State, within the meaning of Section 11(b) of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 630(b).

#### **CONCILIATION**

6. Prior to the institution of this lawsuit, the Commission's representatives attempted to eliminate the unlawful employment practice alleged below and to effect voluntary compliance with the ADEA through informal methods of conciliation, conference and persuasion within the meaning of Section 7(b) of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 626(b).

## STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 7. From at least June, 1999 through at least June, 2000, ISD 2174 engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Section 4(a) of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 623(a), by entering into collective bargaining agreements which provided to retiring employees a cash early retirement incentive calculated as 5 days pay for each year of service to a maximum of 75 days plus 25% pay for unused sick days which was reduced because of the employee's age. Specifically:
- a. In June 2000, Frances K. Spurlock retired from her employment with ISD 2174.
  She received a cash early retirement benefit which was reduced by 40% because of her age,
  62.
- b. In June 2000, Naree Weaver retired from her employment with ISD 2174. She received a cash early retirement benefit which was reduced by 30% because of her age, 61.
- 8. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7 above was willful within the meaning of Section 7(b) of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 626(b).

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant ISD 2174, its appointees, employees, officers, successors, assigns, divisions, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in employment practices that discriminate on the basis of age against individuals aged 40 and older.
  - B. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant ISD 2174 from offering

any early retirement incentive program which reduces benefits because of age.

- C. Grant a judgment requiring Defendant ISD 2174 to pay class members the amount of the early retirement incentive benefit to which each would have been entitled prior to the reduction based on his or her age, plus an equal sum as liquidated damages.
- D. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
  - E. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

# JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Eric S. Dreiband General Counsel

James L. Lee Deputy General Counsel

Gwendolyn Young Reams Associate General Counsel 1801 L Street NW Washington, DC 20507

Jean P. Kamp

Regional Attorney

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Milwaukee District Office 310 W. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 800 Milwaukee, WI 53203-2292 (414) 297-1860